FILED ELECTRONICALLY

PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No: 13768.783.73.1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| In re application of: | John C. Krumm, et al. | ) |
| | | ) |
| Serial No: | 10/677,125 | ) |
| | | ) |
| Confirmation No.: | 3913 | ) Art Unit |
| | | ) 2436 |
| Filed: | September 30, 2003 | ) |
| | | ) |
| For: | Client Proximity Detection Method and System | ) |
| | | ) |
| Examiner: | Fikremariam A. Yalew | ) |

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention as set forth in each of the independent claims and the dependent claims must be read as a whole, and not as a single feature or subcombination of features which represent less than the entirety of the claimed invention as a whole. While a particular feature or subcombination of features referred to by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance may represent a basis for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art, Applicants further submit that this may not necessarily be the *sole* ground for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art of record. Accordingly, the Examiner's statement should, in Applicants' view, not be read as constituting or meaning that the invention can or should be reduced to a single "feature" of the invention or to a subcombination of features that is less than the entire invention claimed as a whole, nor that the single feature referenced by the Examiner or subcombination of features referenced by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance is the only or sole grounds for distinguishing the invention over the prior art of record, or that applicants necessarily agree with any characterization of the prior art set forth in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Dated this 23 day of March, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

Rick D. Nydegger
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 28,651
Customer No. 047973

RDN: aam
3191366_1